Decision Record Template



Date of Decision: 13.05.2025

Lead Officer: Sajan Devshi

Decision Record URN:EXE0007-25

Title: Community Safety Partnership Funding 2025/2026

Decision summary:

The decision was to renew Community Safety Funding across the 9 CSPs as per the amounts below:

CSP1	Leicester City
CSP2	Charnwood
CSP3	Blaby
CSP4	Hinckley and Bosworth
CSP5	SNWLP
CSP6	Harborough
CSP7	Oadby and Wigston
CSP8	Melton Mowbray
CSP9	Rutland

Decision Sought:

The Police and Crime Commissioner undertook a review of the funding provision for the Community Safety Partnerships (known as CSPs) across Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland in December 2022 and new allocations were agreed across the 9 CSPs that were based on relevant data across population sizes, crime per 1000 and the crime harm index across the 9 areas. A formula was devised to help distribute the funding based on a balance of these three criteria.

The total amount of funding available is broken down as follows based on this formula:

CSP 1	Leicester	£271,818.47
CSP 2	Charnwood	£89,530.24
CSP 3	Blaby	£52,665.76
CSP 4	Hinckley & Bosworth	£51,217.55
CSP 5	SNWL	£53,776.10
CSP 6	Harborough	£37,479.75
CSP 7	Oadby & Wigston	£32,554.58
CSP 8	Melton Mowbray	£31,335.75
CSP 9	Rutland	£19,296.80

Total amount of CSP funding: £639,675.00

These amounts were agreed for a 2-year period from 2023-24 and 2024-25 and now require reviewing and renewing as we go into 2025-26.

The recommendation is to renew the current amounts at the current levels for 12 months for the 2025-26 financial year. CSPs are all aware of these proposed allocations and have become accustomed to these amounts when planning their yearly budgets hence it would be beneficial to continue without changes.

Supporting information

1) **Background information**

Please refer to the above summary.

2) Detail of additional information attached.

Please refer to the above summary.

3) Expected benefits.

The recommendation is to renew the current amounts at the current levels for 12 months for the 2025-26 financial year. CSPs are all aware of these proposed allocations and have

become accustomed to these amounts when planning their yearly budgets hence it would be beneficial to continue without changes. The amounts are data led and have a clear rational and justification based on a formula that has been developed.

4) Impact of not approving the application

- Difficulty for CSPs in planning their yearly budget with sufficient notice
- Reputational impact with Councils and Chairs if we are to change the amounts with little notice
- Would require additional resource to evaluate different amounts and propose a new rational for such.
- Any reduction would likely attract criticism at relevant forums for the PCC/OPCC.

5) Financial implications (including any cost savings)

Not applicable as funding is remaining the same.

6) <u>Consultations</u> (Details of any consultations that have been carried out or reason as to why no consultations has taken place):

CSPs are aware and satisfied with the amounts remaining the same.

7) <u>Collaboration (is there an opportunity to collaborate with partners)</u>

This is something all CSPs are satisfied with and discussions have taken place with them expecting the budget to remain similar.

8) Equality, Diversity & Human Rights implications

All funded organisations have the relevant policies in place which they adhere to.

9) Legal comments

Funding agreements have been drafted and implemented.

10) Publication

To be completed

It is appropriate to publish this record of decision made by the Police and Crime Police and Crime Commissioner: **Yes** / Part Redacted / No

It is appropriate to publish the contents of the supporting papers: **Yes** / Part Redacted / No

It is appropriate to publish details of the decision by the Police and Crime Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicestershire: **Yes** / Part Redacted / No

Reasons for any non-Publication (referencing appropriate legislation):

Information in this form is subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOI Act) and other legislation. Unless the information provided is covered by an exemption and stated to be either confidential or partly confidential, the information contained in the form will be published on the OPCC website.

Chief Finance Officer: Kira Knott Comments: Approved

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that financial advice has been taken into account in the preparation of this report and assurances sought have been outlined within the report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Police and Crime Police and Crime for Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland.

Signature:

Name: Kira Knott

Date: 28/04/2025

Chief Executive and Monitoring Officer: Claire Trewartha Comments: Approved

I have been consulted about the proposal and confirm that legal and equalities advice have been taken into account in the preparation of this report and assurances sought have been outlined in this report. I am satisfied that this is an appropriate request to be submitted to the Police and Crime Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland.

Signature: CON

Name Claire Trewartha

Date: 01/05/2025

Police and Crime Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicestershire: Rupert Matthews

Having received the advice set out above and reviewed relevant documentation my decision in regard of this matter is:

Approved

I confirm that my register of interests' declaration is up to date and that none of my interests preclude me from making this decision.

Signature:

Date: 13/05/2025

Name of Police and Crime Commissioner: Rupert Matthews